

PROPOSED SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT METHOD FOR NUTRITION LABELING ON MENUS/MENU BOARDS

DATE				
NAME OF RESTAURANT CHAIN				
ADDRESS				
CITY		_STATE	_Zip	
PERSON TO CONTACT				
PHONE:	E-MAIL:			
Addresses of participating restaurant sites:				

I request a variance from the King County Board of Health's Rule and Regulation R&R 08-02 with respect to the menu/menu board requirements and including the other approved alternative nutrition labeling methods (menu insert, menu appendix, supplemental menu, electronic kiosk, sign adjacent to the menu board, and a sign in queue).

Attached, please find a proposal for a substantially equivalent method of displaying nutrition information, as required by R&R 08-02, to be reviewed by the health officer. This proposed method meets the following criteria and demonstrates how consumers, at or before the point of ordering, will:

- 1. Perceive that nutrition information is readily available
- 2. Encounter nutrition information routinely and automatically; and
- 3. Access nutrition information in a manner that does not interrupt the normal flow of business.

The written proposal must include a detailed description about how the proposed substantially equivalent method for nutrition labeling meets the above criteria *and* include documentation of *at least one* of the following:

- 1. A sample of the nutrition labeling materials or other documents, such as photographs, that demonstrate how the nutrition information provided to consumers will be substantially equivalent to BOH 5.10.015.B or C.
- 2. Existing data* or other evidence from customer surveys that demonstrate that the proposed equivalent method results in a percentage of consumers who see the nutrition information at or before ordering. The health department has set a preliminary benchmark of seventy-five percent or more of customers having seen the nutrition information on or before ordering. *Regulation, lines 332-335: customer surveys used to support a proposed substantially equivalent method must be conducted independently by professionals using scientifically valid survey methods, including the use of random sampling methods to conduct customer assessments."

GJK 6-17-08

3. A plan for evaluation* of the proposed method and a timeline for submittal to the health officer of the data and other results of the evaluation. The evaluation plan must be based on scientifically valid customer surveys. *Regulation, lines 332-335: customer surveys used to support a proposed substantially equivalent method must be conducted independently by professionals using scientifically valid survey methods, including the use of random sampling methods to conduct customer assessments."

(See next page for further evaluation guidance)

SERVICE REQUEST #	Do Not Write Below This Line FACILITY #	
VARIANCE ACCEPTEDSignatu	ure of Program Manager	_ Date:
CONDITIONS		
VARIANCE DENIED Signature of Pro-		Date:
Comments/Reasons:		

DOWNTOWN FOOD & FACILITIES 401- 5TH AVE, 11TH FLOOR SEATTLE, WA 98104 PH: (206) 205-3349

GJK 6-17-08 2